



C A No. Applied For
Complaint No. 79/2022

In the matter of:

BabliComplainant

VERSUS

BSES Yamuna Power LimitedRespondent

Quorum:

1. Mrs. Vinay Singh Member(Law)
2. Mr. Nishat Ahmad Alvi, Member (CRM)

Appearance:

1. Ms. Babli, Complainant
2. Mr. Imran Siddiqi & Ms. Shweta Chaudhary, On behalf of BYPL

ORDER

Date of Hearing: 19th May, 2022
Date of Order: 20th May, 2022

Order Pronounced By:- Mrs. Vinay Singh, Member (Law)

Briefly stated facts of the case are that the complainant applied for new electricity connection but respondent has not released the same till date.

The complainant's grievance is that she applied for new electricity connection vide request no. 8005425541 but respondent rejected her application for new connection on pretext of premises under HT line. Therefore, she requested the Forum to direct the respondent for immediate release her new connection.

Babli

1/20/22

Complaint No. 79/2022

Notices were issued to both the parties to appear before the Forum on 19.05.2022. Notice was also issued to DGM, DTL, Room No. 105, 22KV Office Complex, Patparganj, Delhi-110092 for providing name and voltage level of the transmission line, electrical clearances and approximate location of the premises with respect to adjacent towers for the premises.

The respondent in their reply submitted their reply stating therein the complainant applied for the new electricity connection at premises no. H.No. 27, GF, Kh. No. 50, Kh. No. 50, Gali No. 4, Ambey Colony, Chauhan Patti, Near Shiv Mandir, Delhi-94, for domestic purposes vide request no. 8005425541 dated 22.01.2022. On site verification it was found that premises is under RIGHT OF WAY of H.T. Line as such the deficiency letter printed on 21.02.2022 was issued wherein the complainant was duly intimated that "Premises is under Right of way of H.T. Line" (Horizontal distance 2.20 meter approx., Vertical Distance 13.95 meter, applied building height is 7.35 meter, net vertical distance is $1395-735 = 6.60$ meter approx. Hence the new connection is not possible.

It was also their submission that Dy. Secretary (Dept. of Power) vide its letter dated 18.01.2017 has clarified that DISCOMS cannot provide electricity connections under HT lines as, as per CEA Regulations 2010, there is a right of way for the HT lines under various voltage level. Accordingly, since the issuance of the said letter the DISCOMS are not issuing electricity connection under HT lines. It was also mentioned that 220 KV HT lines pertains to DTL and only DTL can ascertain the clearance of the connection as per CEA Regulations.

A letter dated 17.05.2022 was received from DTL vide no. F.DTL/206/2022-23/Mgr. (T) O&M (E-4)/TR-794/26 stating therein that the required

Devi

[Signature]

Complaint No. 79/2022

measurements will be taken during the approved shut down of the said circuit in the first week of July 2022 under intimation of Hon'ble Forum.

The matter was listed for hearing on 19.05.2022, when arguments of both the parties were heard and matter was reserved for orders.

Arguments of both the parties were heard and matter was reserved for orders.

We have gone through the submissions made by both the parties and heard their arguments. From the narration of facts and material placed before us we find that the premises where the electricity connection has been requested by the complainant is in the right of way width of 220 KV (EHV) Transmission line of DTL as submitted by the respondent and on this ground itself the respondent rejected the request quoting the letter no. F-11(17)/2014/Power/91 dated 18.01.17 from Govt. of NCT (Department of Power), New Delhi. The relevant portion is as under:-

"Connection under high tension lines: As per CEA Regulations 2010 there is a right of way for the HT lines under various voltage levels. No construction is allowed under these HT lines as per the right of way specified in the said CEA Regulation."

As per classification of the voltages by CEA-the 220KV voltage is classified under Extra High Voltage (EHV) and the building is not under the line as per explanation given at Schedule X for Rule 61 of CEA Safety Regulations. Also in the agenda point no. 4 for the 4th meeting of CEA standing committee on electrical safety, in January 2019, states as under:-

"In this regard, it may be stated that CEA Electrical Safety Regulations, 2010, with its present amendments does not cover/indicate the ROW requirements for transmission lines. Neither has it showed any relation of ROW with the electric safety clearance

Dechi

[Signature]

Complaint No. 79/2022

specified in Regulation 58, 60 and 61 of CEA Electrical Safety Regulations, 2010. Due to this, problem is being faced by the Transmission/Distribution licensees in prohibiting people from construction of permanent structures below or close to the EHV or HV electric corridors."

In a similar matter (complaint No. 73/2019), of Sunita Kumari, a letter was written to the Assistant Electrical Inspector, for safety clearances from 220 KV transmission lines, for their opinion/comments.

The Electrical Inspector, vide letter no. ED.4(01)/EI/2020/57 dated 31.01.2020 specify that "the present matter does not come under purview of Regulation 63 of the Central Electricity Authority (Measures relating to safety and Electric Supply) Regulations, 2010. However, the minimum vertical and horizontal clearances of the lines are to be maintained in accordance with the provisions under Regulation 61 of the Central Electricity Authority (Measures relating to safety and Electric Supply) Regulations, 2010. Further, the measurement of vertical and horizontal clearances of lines shall be as specified in Schedule X of the said Regulations."

There is no provision in the Act, CEA Regulations and DERC Regulations, which prohibits release of electricity connection in houses and permanent structures near or close to EHV line if electrical safety clearances as specified in Regulations 58, 60 and 61 of CEA electrical safety regulations 2010 are available for that particular construction. Regulations 61 of CEA 2010, is as under:-

61 Clearances from buildings of lines of voltage exceeding 650V : (1) An overhead line shall not cross over an existing building as far as possible and no building shall be constructed under an existing overhead line.

Sunita

[Signature]

Complaint No. 79/2022

(2) Where an overhead line of voltage exceeding 650 V passes above or adjacent to any building or part of the building it shall have on the basis of maximum sag a vertical clearance above the highest part of the building

Immediately under such line, of not less than:-

- | | |
|--|---|
| (i) For lines of voltages exceeding 650 Volts
Upto and including 33,000 volts | 3.7 meters |
| (ii) For lines of voltages exceeding 33 KV | 3.7 meters plus
0.30 meter for ever
additional 33,000 volts or
part thereof. |

(3) The horizontal clearance between the nearest conductor and any part of such building shall, on the basis of maximum deflection due to wind pressure be not less than:-

- | | |
|--|--|
| (i) For lines of voltages exceeding 650 Volts
Upto and including 11,000 volts | 1.2 meters |
| (ii) For lines of voltages exceeding 11, 000 V
And upto and including 33, 000 V | 2.0 meters |
| (iii) for lines of voltages exceeding 33 KV
for | 2.0 meters plus 0.3 meter

every additional 33,000 volts
or part thereof. |

Provisions for electrical safety in the DERC Regulations are as under:-

5. Safety of electrical installations:- (1) The Licensee and the consumer shall, in every respect, comply with the provisions of the Central Electricity Authority (Measures Relating to Safety and Electric Supply) Regulations, 2010, as amended from time to time.

The, under the line condition and line passing adjacent to the building sketch has also been shown on schedule X for the Rule 61 of CEA Regulations, which indicates that if any portion of a building/construction lies between the vertical space between the spread width of the outermost

Deek

Handwritten signature

Complaint No. 79/2022

conductors (along with swings due to wind pressure), then the Building/construction is said to be under the line. In the present case the building/construction is under the line and the line is passing/adjacent to the building, as per details submitted by the respondent. The details submitted by the respondent and DTL are as under:-


- i) Horizontal distance 2.20 meters approx
- ii) Vertical distance 13.95 meters approx
- iii) Building height 7.35 meters approx
- iv) Net vertical distance $13.95 - 7.35 = 6.35$ meters approx

There is violation of Regulations 58, 60 and 61 of the CEA electrical Safety Regulations 2010.

We are of considered opinion that there is not sufficient horizontal and vertical distance from the EHV line, therefore, the connection cannot be granted to the complainant.

The case is disposed off as above.

No order as to the cost. Both the parties should be informed accordingly.
Proceedings closed.


(NISHAT AHMAD ALVI)
MEMBER (CRM)


(VINAY SINGH)
MEMBER (LAW)